## Best Evaluated Bidder Notice (For Procurement and Disposal Notice Board)

The bidder named below has been evaluated as the best evaluated bidder for the procurement requirement detailed below. It is the intention of the Procuring and Disposing Entity to place a contract with the bidder named after **ten working days** from the date for display given below.

Procurement Reference number:	UWEC/WRKS/24-25/00001- Lot 2
Subject of Procurement:	Construction of Perimeter Fence at UWEC (Lot Two)
Method of Procurement:	Open Domestic Bidding
Name of Best Evaluated Bidder:	Gabikan Engineering Limited
Total Contract Price:	UGX. 2,810,417,629 Taxes Inclusive

Date for Display:	30 <sup>th</sup> September 2024
Date for Removal:	14 <sup>th</sup> October 2024

Display of this Notice does not constitute an acceptance of the bid described above or the formation of a contract. Bid acceptance and contract placement shall be in accordance with the Regulations.

## Unsuccessful Bidders

No	Unsuccessful Bidder(s)	Reason for being Unsuccessful	
1.	King Albert Construction Ltd	<ul> <li>Financial evaluation stage;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Lowest evaluated bidder.</li> </ul>	
2.	Excel Construction Ltd	<ul> <li>Financial evaluation stage;</li> <li>- 3<sup>rd</sup> Lowest evaluated bidder.</li> </ul>	
3.	Geo Services Ltd	<ul> <li>Technical evaluation stage;</li> <li>The bidder never provided cost for preliminaries. The Committee considered the bid non-complaint for reasons of, to avoid variations, and anticipated mobilization risk and delay of the project.</li> </ul>	
4.	Kaleeta Construction Ltd	<ul> <li>Preliminary evaluation stage;</li> <li>Quantity Surveyor, Mr. Wadamba Joseph did not provide a practicing Certificate for 2023 or 2024.</li> <li>Registration number provided, No.429 is for Mr. Henry</li> </ul>	

		Multipi under SDD records	
		Mukiibi under SRB records.	
		- Bidder never provided an Environmental officer as stipulated under ITB 15.1(i).	
5.	C.K Associates Ltd	Preliminary evaluation stage;	
		- Did not comply with the requirements of the bid security under the administrative compliance criteria of the bid document, part 1 of the instructions to bidders sec 34.3 (d). In other words, attached a wrong bid security amount.	
6.	Zizi Holdings Ltd	Preliminary evaluation stage;	
		- Quantity surveyor, Kigongo Brian's practice certificate was forged and his name appeared not to be on the register of Surveyors Registration when the evaluation committee made a call to their secretariat.	
7.	China Nanjing International	Preliminary evaluation stage;	
		- The bidder does not have a registered environmental officer since the verification was made and the suggested Environmental officer (Ms. Josephine Auruga) declined working or having any contract with China Nanjing International.	
8.	BBT Contractors Ltd	Preliminary evaluation stage;	
		- Bidder never provided a Quantity surveyor qualification documentation and yet it is key for the project.	
9.	Amugoli General Enterprises	Preliminary evaluation stage;	
		- Quantity Surveyor, Henry Opio practice certificate provided is for a land surveyor not a quantity surveyor.	
10.Bajaj Steel Industries (U) LimitedPreliminary evaluation stage;		Preliminary evaluation stage;	
		- Bidder never submitted the Quantity Surveyor Ivan Buhinza practice certificate as stipulated in ITB 15.1. This position is key to the project.	
11.	Dansem Construction Ltd	Preliminary evaluation stage;	
		- Environmentalist never provided a practicing certificate for 2023 or 2024 as stipulated in ITB 15.1.	

## Authorised for display on Procurement and Disposal Notice Board:

Signature:	A Hatrangerg	Name:	Dr. James Musinguzi, PhD

Position:	Executive Director	Date:	30 <sup>th</sup> September 2024
-----------	--------------------	-------	---------------------------------

CC: All Bidders