

**Best Evaluated Bidder Notice
(For Procurement and Disposal Notice Board)**

The bidder named below has been evaluated as the best evaluated bidder for the procurement requirement detailed below. It is the intention of the Procuring and Disposing Entity to place a contract with the bidder named after **ten working days** from the date for display given below.

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Procurement Reference number: | UWEC/WRKS/24-25/00001- Lot 1 |
| Subject of Procurement: | Construction of Jetty/ Pier for Boat Docking at UWEC |
| Method of Procurement: | Open Domestic Bidding |
| Name of Best Evaluated Bidder: | East African Piling Ltd |
| Total Contract Price: | UGX. 5,149,858,849 Taxes Inclusive |

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Date for Display: | 30th September 2024 |
| Date for Removal: | 14th October 2024 |


Display of this Notice does not constitute an acceptance of the bid described above or the formation of a contract. Bid acceptance and contract placement shall be in accordance with the Regulations.

Unsuccessful Bidders

| No | Unsuccessful Bidder(s) | Reason for being Unsuccessful |
|----|------------------------|--|
| 1. | Muga Services Ltd | <p>Technical evaluation stage;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Didn't provide adequate experience in works of similar nature, most especially sheet piling works which is the most critical work on the entire project. - Muga Services provided basic construction equipment for the construction of the works and they were not sufficient for the tasks of sheet piling and nor did they provide any lease for the same equipment for driving the sheet piles into the ground. - The work methodology provided by the bidder was not sufficient enough on how they were going to execute the works. |
| 2. | Excel Construction Ltd | <p>Technical evaluation stage;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Did not provide adequate experience in works of similar nature most especially sheet piling works which is the most critical work on the entire project. |

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provided basic construction equipment for the construction of the works of works and they were not sufficient for the tasks of sheet piling and nor did they provide any lease for the same equipment for driving the sheet piles into the ground. - The work methodology provided by the bidder was not sufficient enough on how they were going to execute the works. |
| 3. | C.K Associates Ltd | <p>Preliminary evaluation stage;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Did not comply with the requirements of the bid security under the administrative compliance criteria of the bid document, part 1 of the instructions to bidders sec 34.3 (d). In other words, attached a wrong bid security amount. |
| 4. | Amugoli General enterprises | <p>Preliminary evaluation stage;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bidder submitted a land surveyor by the names of Henry Opio Ogenyi as a quantity surveyor and on verification, the named person appeared to be a Land surveyor with registration No.117 under the surveyor's registration board list. |
| 5. | China Nanjing International | <p>Preliminary evaluation stage;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder does not have a registered environmental officer since the verification was made and the suggested Environmental officer (Ms. Josephine Auruga) declined working or having any contract with China Nanjing International. |

Authorised for display on Procurement and Disposal Notice Board:

| | | | |
|------------|---|-------|---------------------------------------|
| Signature: |  | Name: | Dr. James Musinguzi, PhD |
| Position: | Executive Director | Date: | 30th September 2024 |

CC: All Bidders